

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| 10/716,949 | 11/19/2003 | Patrik Grundstrom | P17514-US2 | 7278 | | |
| 27045 ERICSSON IN | 7590 03/17/200 NC | 9 | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| 6300 LEGACY DRIVE | | | LEE, Y YOUNG | | | |
| M/S EVR 1-C-11 PLANO, TX 75024 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| | | | 2621 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | | 03/17/2009 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/716,949 | GRUNDSTROM ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Y. Lee | 2621 | |
| 1. Lee | 2021 | |

| | Y. Lee | 2621 | |
|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C pendos: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set for | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office e of the final rejection, e | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed, |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a limit They raise new issues that would require further core. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | nsideration and/or search (see NO) w); | TE below); | |
| appeal; and/or | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amandment (| DTOL 224) |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | Inpliant Americanient (| 102-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, | • | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | I be entered and an e. | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.5-7.9-22.24-28.30-34 and 36-38. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Young Lee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2621 | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: newly amended independent claims raise new issues.